

BeST (Benefits of SuDS Tool)

W045d BeST – User Manual

Release version 2 February 2016

need help? email: best@susdrain.org





BeST (Benefits of SuDS Tool) User Manual

Digman, C.J., Horton, B., Ashley, R.M. and Gill, E.

CIRIA

W045d

RP993

© CIRIA 2016

ISBN: 978-0-86017-770-8

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record is available for this book from the British Library

Keywords		
Sustainable drainage systems, drainage, sewers, SuDS, mul	tiple benefits, monetary values,	
Reader interest	Classification	
Assessing the benefits of SuDS and other drainage enables	AVAILABILITY	Unrestricted
other potential funding routes. Understanding the wider	CONTENT	Advice/guidance
value of different drainage options provides greater	STATUS	Committee-guided
	USERS	Drainage engineers, highway engineers, flood risk managers, landscape architects, spatial planners, consultants

Published by CIRIA, Griffin Court, 15 Long Lane, London, EC1A 9PN, UK

This publication (including tool and manual) is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information on the subject matter covered. It is sold and/or distributed with the understanding that neither the authors nor the publisher is thereby engaged in rendering a specific legal or any other professional service. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the publication, no warranty or fitness is provided or implied, and the authors and publisher shall have neither liability nor responsibility to any person or entity with respect to any loss or damage arising from its use.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying and recording, without the written permission of the copyright holder, application for which should be addressed to the publisher. Such written permission must also be obtained before any part of this publication is stored in a retrieval system of any nature. To reproduce any of the figures, text or technical information from this or any other CIRIA publication for use in other documents or publications, please contact the Publishing Department for more details on copyright terms and charges at: publishing@ciria.org Tel: 020 7549 3300.

Front Cover Image: The Triangle, Swindon courtesy of Studio Engleback, Curtins Consulting & Kevin McCloud

Components of BeST

W045a BeST: Evaluation Tool:

supporting practitioners evaluate benefits for a drainage proposal

W045b BeST: Options Comparison Tool: Tool to compare more than one drainage proposal

W045c BeST Technical Guidance: *Provides technical information behind the tool*

W045d BeST User manual: *Provides an overview of how to use the tools W045a and W045b*

Funders





ciria

Overview of User Manual contents

Page number	Summary
1	Front page
2	About BeST
3	Components of BeST and Funders
4	Contents
5	Introducing BeST
6	Functionality and navigation of the tool W045a
7	Order to complete the tool
8	Filling in the home tab
9	Project inputs
10	SuDS Used
11	Screening questions
12	Potential stakeholders
13	Double counting

Page number	Summary
14	Introducing an impact sheet
15	Choosing and filling in the appropriate section
16	Introducing some common boxes requiring information
17	Completing qualitative benefit sheets
18	Summary of the results
19	Overall results that support the creation of graphs
20	Pie charts and graphs (pre and post confidence)
21	Using the values library
22	Yearly values and allowing for inflation
23	Adjusting discount factors
24	Sensitivity analysis
25	Using W045b Option Comparison Tool



Introducing BeST: What it can and can't do

It can	lt can't
 Play a valuable role as a decision support tool - informing decision makers of the potential benefits of different courses of action 	 Account for every individual content or site specific nuance. It requires the user to think how their individual site or catchment information can be entered into the tool
 Estimate monetary value of benefits based upon information provided by the user 	 Estimate the benefits without user input to translate the context of the scheme into the framework of the tool
 For new development compare the benefits of a SuD option with a conventionally drained option 	 S * Provide great accuracy without local evaluation or similar scoping studies being undertaken
 ✓ For retrofit compare an option against the existing baseline 	 Indicate benefits without some form of drainage design and performance assessment
 Provide support to help evaluate some benefits in a simplified manner 	 Be a design tool or decision making tool and tell you which SuDS to use and how your drainage will specifically perform
 Investigate the impact of uncertainty in the values being used and applied 	 Provide a detailed distributional analysis of benefits
 Provide summaries, graphs and comparisons (if mor than one option considered) 	 e × Guarantee that the benefits indicated by the tool will be delivered in practice
 Provide an indication of the kinds of benefits that are likely to occur from a given drainage scheme 	 K Guarantee that beneficiaries will want to (or are able to) support funding of SuDS
 Provide an indication of which groups may benefit from a given drainage scheme 	 Determine the costs (capital, operational, whole-life) of the drainage scheme
 Suggest where more detailed analysis or assessmen of impacts may be needed 	t × Eliminate any potential overlap between different benefits
 Produce simple dataset and graphics to substantiate output information 	 Provide a full life-cycle assessment of all potential 5 drainage solutions

Functionality and navigating through the tool W045a

NOTE: some work sheets/cells in W045a are protected to avoid accidental changes





Expected order to complete/use the tools



NOTES:

¹Opens up selected impacts

²Only opens based on benefits enabled from screening questions

HOME tab asks the user to input general project data



Project inputs: captures general information about the project





Screening questions and initial qualitative assessment helps the user to assess which benefits to consider

To hide or open the benefits in BeST press the button

Impact	Question	Further aspects to consider	Likely Impact	Open impact sheet?	Reasons /evidence for choosing the scale of the impact	u
Air quality	Will the drainage / SuDS also change the level of air pollution?	 - Is the site in an air quality management area? - Will the scheme involve significant 'greening' (e.g. tree planting, green roofs)? - Is the scheme in a populated area or a transport corridor? 	**	YES		L
Amenity	Will the drainage / SuDS also change the attractiveness of the place	 Does the scheme involve significant landscaping or greening? Is the scheme in a populated area, or an area used for recreation, work, commuting, tourism, etc? Will SuDS features be visible to those living nearby or passing by? Could the scheme lead to inconvenience/disruption to residents of others (e.g. during construction)? 	7	YES		Ľ
Biodiversity and Ecology	Will the drainage / SuDS also lead to a change in habitats for plants and animals	 Will the scheme impact on a designated site (e.g. SSS1, SAC, SPA), Habitats of Principal Importance (BAP priority habitats) or a site of local importance for nature? Will the scheme involve SuDS features that may improve these sites, or create new sites? 		YES	1	1
Building temperature	Will the drainage / SuDS also change the potential for high temperatures in summer and cold temperatures in winter	 Will the scheme involve significant 'greening' (e.g. tree planting green roofs)? Is the scheme in a built-up area? Will the planting provide shading and wind protection to properties? 		NO		Ţ

impact sheet in the tool



SuDS Used captures information about the SuDS and where they will be built (only opens if certain benefits are selected in the screening)



ciria

Potential stakeholders indicates who it might be appropriate to engage with

This sheet indicates the	Stro prio this	ong po ority o s stake	tentia r qual holde	al - Be lifying er	enefit gcrite	is a erion f	or	YI	ES		Mode may l	est po be lin	otent iked t	ial - B o anc	enefi other	it is n whic	ot a pi h is	riority	but	١	/ES		Low spe	pote cific p	ntial - riority	Benefi or crite	t is not erion	а	Y	ES									
potential stakeholders							Nati	onal,	Regio	nal &	Local	Auth	ority									EU			Corpo	rate	Lo	ttery			(Others	s				Ser	vices	
discuss the impacts of SuDS with.	(FDGiA)		Levy (CIL)	ions (S106)	nd Precepts)	(81Mc							tricts			ot projects		(SHN) so																groups etc					
It is intended as an initial guide to help direct engagement efforts and relies on assumed values	Flood Defence Grant in Aid	Local Levy Funding	Community Infrastructure	Developer based contribut	Council Tax (Add. Levies ar	Public Works Loan Board (I	Business Rate Suplement	Regional Growth Fund	Tax Increment Funding	Business Rate Retention	LEP's	New Homes Bonus*	Business Improvement Dis	Asset backed financing	PPI / PFI	DEFRA one-off grants & pil	WFD funding	Clinical Commissions Group	Housing Association	ERDF	LIFE +	ESF	European Investment Bank		Volunteering Sponsorship CSR	Private Beneficiary Funding	Heritage Lottery Fund	Big Lottery	Grant Making Trusts	Landfill Community Fund	Volunteering	Public Appeal	General Drainage Charge	Walking / Cycling / Angling	Not-for-profits & Charities	Water	Power	Network Rail	Highways Agency
Air quality																																							
Amenity																																							
Biodiversity and Ecology																																							
Building temperature																																							
Carbon sequestration														/																									
Enabling development																																							
Flood risk																																							
Groundwater recharge																																							
Health							V-	- 1	-			.													A re	-be	amł	oer-	ore	Per								2	7
Pumping wastewater							Ye.	s/r	10 (op		nτ	ur	ns													. : .		9 t.	-	•								
Rain water harvesting							on	/o [.]	ff e	eac	h c	col	ou	r fo	or										syst	.em	IIS	use	a to	0									
Recreation							cla	rit	v															i	indi	cat	e tl	nos	е										
Treating wastewater									У																stal	<u>eh</u>	hlo	ors	mc	nct									
Water quality of receiving water																														·st									
Crime			\mathbf{N}																						ike	ly t	o b	e in	ter	est	ed	1							
Economic growth																									dep	en	din	g ur	oon	h th	e								
Education	nn/	∖fi+	c c/	مام	cta	hc	to																		niti	ial 4	driv	orc	٦n	Ч									
Flexible infrastructure / CCA	ent	:11	5 56	eie	CIE	eu	ιΟ																			di		612	all	u									
Tourism as	sse	SS a	are	nc	ot g	gre	ye	d																,	wid	er	ben	efit	s.										
Traffic calming	ut																																						

Double counting check highlights which benefits may overlap and where care is required

ciria				Po	ote	en	tia	al 1	fo	r o	ok	uk	ole	e c	οι	In	tir	١g					
(Project Details Incomplete)																							
This worksheet is for information only to	helj	p yo	u un	ders	tand	l wh	ere d	loub	le co	ount	ing r	nay	occu	ır be	twee	en b	enef	it ca	tego	ries			
Impact	Air quality	Amenity	Biodiversity (habitats)	Carbon sequestration	Crime	Economic growth	Education	Enabling development	Flexible infrastructure / CCA	Flood risk	Groundwater recharge	Health	Noise	Public relations / Corporate Social Responsibi	Pumping wastewater	Recreation	Temperature (air / building)	Tourism	Traffic calming	Treating wastewater	Water quality of receiving water	Water resource	
Air quality																							
Amenity																							
Biodiversity (habitats)																					Id	enti	fies
Carbon sequestration																					w	here	e there
Crime																					рс	oten	tial fo
Economic growth										4		-									dc	bubl	е
Education																					со	ount	ing.
Enabling development																							
Flexible infrastructure / CCA	_																						13
9 - 1 - 1 - 1																							



Each impact has a space to make notes or add reference to other studies information etc. Only fill in one section of each impact (except flooding).

Ciria PROJECT DETA	142.5 - Na.: A. Nome: A. Assm? Version: A. Date: Jan 1907 Input Data Select	Information Calculation / Ouput	Pumping wastew	Record evidence or reference information
Evidence: Qu	alitative summary and written evidence			
2 3 4 5				Notes give some general information about each impact
Notes Over Dnly Furth	riew of the sections to help choose which section to capture the i complete one section. er help (to be developed) is provided in the guidance Section P1 Use this section if you already assessed the impact on	mpact on pumping (including carbon) Section P2 Use this section if you need support to estimate the impact on pumping stations if you know information about the pumps	Section P3 Use this section if you need support to estimate the impact on pumping stations if you only know information about the pumped flows and run	Tells you about each section to guide you to which one to fill in depending upon the
	pumping stations	and run times	times	information you have
SECTION P	1 Note: If you have undertaken an assessment of the financial in For solutions where the grey infrastructure was to build infrastructure has on the network negatively. Similar of	mpact of the changing the flows to pumping stations enter the data storage, and increase the flow entering the sewer network (e.g. in th puestions can be asked for the grey infrastructure approach.	here. If not, proceed to P2 or P3.	Specific notes relate to each impact and assessment section



Each impact enables a self assessment of benefits before providing more simplified methods of estimating the impact.

SECTIO	DN WQ1				\leftarrow							
N If F	lotes: i you have cale for a retrofit, y	culated the impact of the proposals on wa ou only need to enter the present value fo	ter quality enter the r the proposed scer	present values her nario	e.							Each benefit starts where
		Scenario	Present value before certainty / confidence applied	Level of certainty of the quantity calculated (select from list)	Level of confidence of the monetary value selected/used	Present value damage after certainty / confidence applied		Confirm the st of the e	art and end year valuation			from your own evaluation.
E s F	Baseline cenario Proposed cenario	Needs Proposed	E ·	duma		£ .		Start	End			section if you have already undertaken an assessment
		Sum of benefits	٤			٤	}					of the present value of the impact.
SECTIO U F	JN WO2 Jotes: Jse this sectio For a retrofit, y	in to estimate the impact of the proposal: ou only need to enter the present value fo	on the water quality	of the receiving w	rater.	K						
Ŀ	lefer to the gu	iidance (under development) to help you o	Confirm the st. Confirm the st. of the e Start	the SuDS may hav art and end year valuation End	re, based upon the avail	able information						Enter information in this section following the
_		Scenario	Select the change in water quality classification (from - to)	Select region	Select the monetary value of change per km	Monetary value selected (£)	Length of the water course (km)	Present value before certainty / confidence applied	Level of certainty of the quantity calculated <u>(select from</u>	Level of confidence of the monetary value	Present value after certainty / confidence applied	where this information may come from and how to
E s	Baseline cenario	Needs				0		£ .			£ .	undertake the assessment
F	proposed cenario	Proposed				0	Difference	£ .			£ .	
			lst Water Grade	lstWaterRegion	ist Vater Sub Grade		between base and proposal	£			٤.	1 5



A number of boxes are similar across a number of the benefits



Allows you to amend the final values by assigning a confidence score for the quantity predicted and the monetary values applied (25%, 50%, 75% and 100%)



Allows you to select the start and end year of the evaluation period, for when the benefits will start to be realised. This is important to calculate the present value correctly.



completed.

Benefits that are hard to quantify can be summarised in the qualitative pages (but if you can monetise, it is available).



Results will automatically appear in the summary table. No direct data entry should be required.



ciria		Summ	nary of	Outp	uts -	M	onetised		
(Project Details In	complete)								
Discount	3.50%	Autor	natic colo fits that a	our codin	g to hig er than	ghlig 209	ght the % (green) or		
		10-20	% (ambe	r) of the	total.	_0,			
	Monetised Impacts								
Significant proportion	Main impacts	Monetised sub-impact	Year start	Year end	Present va	alue	Level of certainty of the quantity calculated	Level of confidence of the monetary value selected/used	Present value after uncertainty adjustment
	Air quality	External assessment	0	0	£	-	0%	0%	f -
*	Air quality	SO2	2020	2060	£ 63	,825	50%	100%	f 31,912
Air quality	Air quality	NO2	2020	2060	£ 29	,336	50%	100%	£ 14,668
	Air quality	PM-10	2020	2060	£ 876	,114	50%	100%	£ 438,057
	Amenity - Quality of space	External assessment	0	0	£	-	0%	0%	£ -
	Amenity - Quality of space	Street improvements	2020	2060	£ 40,	,326	50%	50%	£ 10,082
Amenity	Amenity - Quality of space	Permanent body of works	2020	2060	£ 3,895	,129	50%	50%	£ 973,782
	Amenity - Quality of space	Property increase	n/a	n/a	£	-	50%		£ -
Biodiversity	Biodiversity (Habitats)	External assessment	0	0	£	-	0%	0%	£ -
									TO

Monetised results automatically come into the summary table (ESS and TBL) including the sensitivity results. This data creates the graphs (next page)



ciria

ciria

Graphs are automatically created based upon the data, for pre-confidence (left) and post confidence (right)



Values library catalogues values, shows which are built into the tool and include a column where user defined values (which then appear in the benefits worksheets) may be entered.



ciria Values Library						
(Project Details Incomplete)						
KEY Rows directly used in the tool User can define values Values (on the row) provided for information						
Monetary Values						
5	Suggested values (201	4 prices unless user up Library)	dated in Yearly Values	User defined Values		Title
	Low	Central / Average	High	column)	Source	
NOX	£ 802	£ 1,029	£ 1,169	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
SOX	£ 1,422	£ 1,760	£ 2,000	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
Ammonia	£ 1,657	£ 2,125	£ 2,415	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
PM domestic	£ 23,743	£ 30,324	£ 34,459	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
PM agriculture	£ 8,188	£ 10,456	£ 11,883	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
PM waste	£ 17,603	£ 22,481	£ 25,548	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
PM industry	£ 21,286	£ 27,187	£ 30,894	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
PM ESI	£ 2,047	£ 2,614	£ 2,971	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
PM transport average	£ 40,935	£ 52,282	£ 59,411	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
PM transport central London	£ 187,072	£ 238,931	271,513	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
PM transport inner London	192,394	E 245,728	£ 279,237	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec
PM transport outer London	£ 125,671	£ 160,507	£ 182,395	User not defined	https://www.gov.uk/air-quality-economic-analysis	Air quality:ec

These values appear directly in the tool, e.g. in the Air quality worksheet User can enter their own values, or substitute from a different category in the table here, e.g. in this case for an alternative PM transport category.

Yearly values library contain values such as energy and also enable you to update the base year to take account of inflation without waiting for a new version of the tool.

			Note the values This set of table	beyond 2100 have I as supports the Sep	been estimated as stember 2015 vers	s they are not avai ion of the DECCII-	lable currently. M Treasury Green	n Book suppleme	ntary appraisal gu	idance on valuing	energy use and	greenhouse gas (i	GHG) emissions.	These tables were	last revise
Gross Dom Deflators a (calendar y	estic Product t Market Prices wear)		Electricity	costs p/kWh	ı										
Note: Update orang appropriate b	ge cells with clata from ase year.		The prices in th	e tables are project	ed retail prices ar	nd are based on p	rojected wholesale	prices, on averag	ged historical non	fuel costs and are	uplifted by taxes	s, fuel duties and ;	policy cost recove	ry, including any a	nnounced
Data taken fri market pricer December 20 Accounts)	om: GDP deflators at a, and money GDP: 15 (Quarterly National		(5%), industry a	nd services prices	ao no include vi	ential, services or	industrial) for the e	nergy used in yo	ur assessment. N	ole beyond 2030, p	rices have been	fixed.			
			Domestic	Commercial Public sector	Industrial	Domestic	Commercial/ Public sector	Industrial	Domestic	Commercial/ Public sector	Industrial	User defined	Domestic	Commercial/ Public sector	Indus
Year	Gross Domestic		Electricity										Gas		
	Product Denators	Year	Low	Low	Low	Central	Central	Central	High	High	High	User	Low	Low	Lo
			Domestic	Public sector	Industrial	Domestic	Public sector	Industrial	Domestic	Public sector	Industrial	User	Domestic	Public sector	Indus
1990	54.821	2008													
1991	58.418	2009													
1992	60.327	2010													
1993	61.882	2011													
1994	62.638	2012													
1995	64.191	2013	15.2	9.4	8.2	15.2	9.4	8.2	15.2	9.4	8.2		4.9	3.1	2.1
1996	66.772	2014	15.8	9.8	8.2	15.8	9.8	8.2	15.8	9.8	8.2		5.1	3.0	2.0
1997	68.324	2015	14.9	9.2	7.8	14.8	9.9	8.5	14.9	10.6	9.2		4.1	2.2	17
1998	69.426	2016	14.7	9.7	8.3	15.3	10.8	9.3	15.9	11.8	10.3		3.7	21	18
2000	70.199	2017	15.2	10.0	8.6	16.3	11.3	3.3	17.3	12.6	11.1		3.7	21	10
2000	72 575	2019	15.0	10.5	9.9	17.6	12.0	10.4	19.0	135	11.2		3.5	19	14
2002	74.392	2020	16.8	11.4	9.8	17.9	12.5	10.4	19.3	13.8	12.1		3.4	2.0	14
2003	76.424	2021	16.4	11.3	9.9	18.0	13.1	11.6	19.3	14.7	13.2		3.4	2.0	15
2004	78.643	2022	17.3	12.0	10.6	18.4	13.3	11.8	20.1	14.7	13.2		3.5	2.1	1.6
2005	80.932	2023	16.7	12.0	10.6	18.3	13.6	12.1	19.8	15.2	13.7		3.3	2.2	1.6
2006	83.355	2024	17.2	12.8	11.4	18.7	14.4	12.9	20.2	15.9	14.4		3.4	2.2	17
2007	85.736	2025	18.1	13.4	12.0	19.6	15.0	13.5	21.0	16.5	14.9		3.5	2.3	1.8
2008	88.204	2026	17.9	13.3	11.8	19.6	15.1	13.6	20.9	16.1	14.6		3.5	2.3	1.8
2009	90.000	2027	18.6	13.8	12.3	20.1	15.2	13.7	21.4	16.5	15.0		3.6	2.4	1.9
2010	92.799	2028	18.5	13.7	12.2	19.8	14.7	13.2	211	15.8	14.3		3.6	25	19
2011	96.284	2025	19.5	13.8	12.3	19.4	14.6	13.2	20.3	15.6 15.6	14.1		3.7	25	20
2012	36.204	2030	18.5	13.9	12.5	19.5	14.8	13.3	20.2	15.6	14.1		3.7	2.6	21
2014	100.000	2032	18.5	13.9	12.5	19.5	14.8	13.3	20.2	15.6	14.1		3.7	2.6	2.
2015		2033	18.5	13.9	12.5	19.5	14.8	13.3	20.2	15.6	14.1		3.7	2.6	2.1
2016		2034	18.5	13.9	12.5	19.5	14.8	13.3	20.2	15.6	14.1		3.7	2.6	2.1
2010			1		40 F				20.0	-					
2010		2035	18.5	13.9	12.5	19.5	14.8	13.3	20.2	15.6	14.1		3.7	2.6	2.1
2016 2017 2018		2035	18.5 18.5	13.9 13.9	12.5	19.5	14.8 14.8	13.3	20.2	15.6	14.1		3.7	2.6	2.1



22

CI

Allowing for variable discount rates to accommodate funding organisation requirements

Present Value Calculation

(Project Details Incomplete)

ciria



		Discount rate	
		below: the %s can	
		be overwritten with	
		user defined for	
		different years.	
Year	D ¹	NOTE: this removes	
	Discount	the link to the	
	Value factor	project inputs rate	
2013	1	3.50%	
2014	0.97	3.50%	values can be
2015	0.93	3.50%	altered within
2016	0.90	3.50%	orange cells,
2017	0.87	3.50%	including diff
2018	0.84	3.50%	
2019	0.81	3.50%	rates for diffe
2020	0.79	3.50%	vears if requi
2021	0.76	3.50%	years in requi
2022	0.73	3.50%	
2023	0.71	3.50%	

Sensitivity analysis enables you to vary the confidence levels for each present value calculation.

ciria									
Notes: This sheet enables you to alter a number of parameters such as the confidence scores or start and end years, to see the impact on the present values entered or calculated. This sheet is not complete - will request feedback in the PSG meeting to discuss its usefulness and the level of detail required by the PSG. Column B highlighted depending upon the proportion the impact has overall: Green (>20%), Amber (10-20%) and Red (<10%).									Existing option values will automatically appear.
Monetise	State	Quantity confidence	Monetary confidence	Present value pre-confidence	Present value after confidence	Justification for change			
	Amenity	Street improvements	Existing Low High	0%	0%	f - f - f -	£ -		_
	Amenity	Permanent body of water	Existing Low High	75% 50% 100%	75% 50% 100%	£ 538,578 £ 538,578 £ 538,578	£302,950£134,645£538,578		
AMENITY	Amenity	Property price increase city park	Existing Low High	0%	0%	£ - £ -	<u>É</u> - <u>E</u> - E -		
	Amenity	Property price increase - local park	Existing Low High	0%	0%	f - f - f -	£ - £ - £ -		
Low and high sensitivity can be completed		Property price increase - green space enhancement	Existing Low High	0%	0%	£ ~ £ - £ -	£ - £ - £ -		The confidence
		TOTAL	Existing Low High			£538,578£538,578£538,578	£302,950£134,645£538,578		altered

Use W045d BeST Options Comparison Tool to help compare the benefits of different options.

ciria		Ecosysten	n Services S	cheme Cor	nparisons					Compares the cost, benefits and net
IProject Details In	complete)									present value
Note: Paste in data h	om the tool to enable a comparison						600000	Comparison	of schemes cost vs beneft	
	In	clude option in chart?	YES	YES	YES	NO	500000			
	Scheme Reference		Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	Option 4	400000			
	Scheme Summary		Conventional solution	SuDS Minimum	SuDS Extra		(F k) 300000	_		
	Scheme present value cost		£350,000	£450,000	£489,000	£0	at va		K	r i
	Overall scheme net present value		-£62,500	-£7,000	£493,000 £4,000	£0 £0	200000			
	Impact		Present Value (E)	Present Value (£)	Present Value (£)	Present Value (E)	Ъ			
	Economic growth Enabling development Flexible infrastructure/climate change adapt Pumping wastewater	ation	-2500				100000			
Provisioning service	Bainwater harvesting						0			
	Tourism Treating wastewater							Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
	Groundwater recharge			4000	4000		-100000			
	User-defined							Schame precent cort	rent value benefitr	chame net precent value
	User-defined							Scheme present cost = Scheme pre	overally overally	cheme net present voide
	Air quality Building temperature							Drecent value comport	icon of each schom	a by types of ESS +
	Carbon reduction and sequestration				-			Present value compar	ison of each schem	e by types of ESS
Regulating services	Flooding		290000	290000	340000					all a
	Water quality			45000	45000					
	User-defined			7						
	Amenity			42000	42000					
	Crime				46000		5	00000		
	Education						••• 4	00000		
Cultural services	Health			52000	62000		E E			
							n 3	00000		
	Results can be						ez 2	00000		
	conied from						ť	7		
Security							se 1	00000		
	the tool into	/					Pre	0		
								Ontion 1	Ontion 2	Option 3
	the			Comp	ares the		-1		0010112	option o
	comparison			propo	ortion of		-			
	table			benef	its for eac	ch			Scheme name	25
				optio	n		Pro	ovisioning services 🔳 Regulati	ing services 📕 Cultural ser	vices Supporting services

